

AFRL-SN-WP-TP-2006-129

**FDTD ANALYSIS OF A NEW LEAKY
TRAVELING WAVE ANTENNA**



**G.M. Zelinski, M.L. Hastriter, M.J. Havrilla, J.S. Radcliffe,
A.J. Terzuoli, and G.A. Thiele**

JULY 2006

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

STINFO COPY

**This is a work of the United States Government and is not subject to copyright protection
in the United States.**

**SENSORS DIRECTORATE
AIR FORCE RESEARCH LABORATORY
AIR FORCE MATERIEL COMMAND
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OH 45433-7320**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

*Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188*

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YY) July 2006			2. REPORT TYPE Conference Paper Postprint		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 05/01/2004 – 05/01/2006	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE FDTD ANALYSIS OF A NEW LEAKY TRAVELING WAVE ANTENNA			5a. CONTRACT NUMBER In-house			
			5b. GRANT NUMBER			
			5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER N/A			
6. AUTHOR(S) G.M. Zelinski, M.L. Hastriter, M.J. Havrilla, J.S. Radcliffe, A.J. Terzuoli, and G.A. Thiele			5d. PROJECT NUMBER 7622			
			5e. TASK NUMBER 11			
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER 0D			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) RF and EO Subsystems Branch (AFRL/SNDR) Aerospace Components & Subsystems Technology Division Sensors Directorate Air Force Research Laboratory, Air Force Materiel Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-7320			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER AFRL-SN-WP-TP-2006-129			
			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY ACRONYM(S) AFRL-SN-WP			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Sensors Directorate Air Force Research Laboratory Air Force Materiel Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-7320			11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRL-SN-WP-TP-2006-129			
			12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES PAO Case Number: AFRL/WS 05-0211, 07 Feb 2005. This is a work of the United States Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Conference paper published in the Proceedings of the IEEE/ACES International Conference on Wireless Communications and Applied Computational Electromagnetics.						
14. ABSTRACT A new antenna is proposed based on a structure first constructed by Menzel that utilizes the leaky wave phenomena of the first higher order mode. This work seeks to determine the effect on performance of the antenna due to varying geometries. Standard antenna range far-field and near-field measurements are not sensitive enough to extract the propagation constant. A numerical simulation was thus developed using the Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) method to extract the propagation constant. The simulation was validated with published analytical data as well as measured data.						
15. SUBJECT TERMS FDTD, leaky wave antenna, Menzel, microstrip						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT: SAR		18. NUMBER OF PAGES 10	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON (Monitor) Joshua Radcliffe 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) N/A	
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified				

FDTD Analysis of a New Leaky Traveling Wave Antenna[†]

G. M. Zelinski*, M. L. Hastriter, M. J. Havrilla, J. S. Radcliffe¹, A. J. Terzuoli,
G. A. Thiele^{1,2}, Air Force Institute of Technology, 2950 Hobson Way, Bldg 641,
Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 - gregory.zelinski@afit.edu

Abstract: A new antenna is proposed based on a structure first constructed by Menzel [1] that utilizes the leaky wave phenomena of the first higher order mode. This work seeks to determine the effect on performance of the antenna due to varying geometries. Standard antenna range far-field and near-field measurements are not sensitive enough to extract the propagation constant. A numerical simulation was thus developed using the Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) method to extract the propagation constant. The simulation was validated with published analytical data as well as measured data.

Keywords: FDTD, leaky wave antenna, Menzel, microstrip

1. Leaky Wave Antenna Theory

Antennas that operate in a resonance configuration have limited bandwidth due to the destructive interference of the waves. Bandwidth can be increased by blocking the standing wave and instead using a traveling wave. In this configuration, the voltage and current are in phase and have the same $e^{j\gamma z}$ distribution along the length, where $\gamma = k_z = \beta - j\alpha$. If the cross section is uniform along the length, the H-plane far-field pattern can be estimated by a line source with a current distribution: $I = I_0 e^{j\gamma z}$. The lower limit of the leaky wave bandwidth is the frequency at which $\alpha = \beta$ and the upper limit occurs when $\beta = k_0$. Small α results in an electrically long aperture giving a narrow beamwidth.

The fundamental mode of microstrip, EH₀, is a bound mode and does not radiate. If the EH₀ mode is blocked, the next higher mode, EH₁, becomes dominant and the structure radiates as a leaky traveling wave antenna. A phase reversal of the electric field inside the substrate at the cross section midpoint allows the field to decouple from the structure.

Higher order modes on microstrip transmission lines can be described as exhibiting three distinct propagation mechanisms: bound wave, surface wave, and leaky wave. These regimes are distinguishable by the directions in which energy is allowed to propagate. Referring to Figure 1, k_x is the propagation constant in the x -direction, k_y in the y -direction, and k_z in the z -direction. Bound waves exhibit a mostly real k_z , while k_x and k_y are complex. This causes waves to propagate in z and evanesce in x and y . This regime is associated with propagation above the structure's cutoff frequency for a particular mode, f_c , and is typically the state at which microwave circuits are designed to operate.

Surface waves are supported by the dielectric layer on a ground plate below f_c . These waves exhibit mostly-real k_x and k_z with complex k_y . Waves quickly attenuate in y ; therefore, these waves are associated only with the *surface* of the structure.

¹ Currently with the Air Force Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB

² Currently on contract from Analytic Designs, Inc.

[†] The views of the authors expressed in this article do not reflect the official policy of the U.S. Air Force, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government.

As the frequency is lowered to the point where $\beta < k_0$, a leaky wave results from power coupling per unit length from the structure into free space. The leaky wave is characterized by mostly-real k_x , k_y , and k_z allowing propagation in y into free space. Although surface waves are still present, losses in the leaky wave region are mostly due to radiation of energy *leaking* from the microstrip. The lower limit of this leaky regime is the frequency at which $\alpha = \beta$. Below $\alpha = \beta$, the high evanescence of k_z makes the microstrip appear as a reactive load. Thus this region is frequently referred to as the reactive region.

2. A New Leaky Wave Antenna

Figure 1 shows a new antenna designed to operate at the EH_1 mode. It is an evolution of a design by Menzel, as seen in Figure 2. Menzel's design uses slots cut from the microstrip conductor to block the fundamental mode. Oliner [2] mentions that Menzel's 100 mm length is much too short. This antenna would need to be nearly 220 mm at 6.7 GHz for 90% efficiency, which is a typical traveling wave design rule for efficiency.




Figure 1: Thiele half width antenna.




Figure 2: Menzel's original antenna.

The new antenna replaces Menzel's slots with a metal wall down the centerline connecting the conductor strip and the ground plate and should more effectively block the fundamental mode. Due to symmetry and image theory, it is believed that only half of the structure is needed. This new antenna is half of the width of the Menzel antenna. This work shows that the normalized propagation constant generated by the full width antenna is within 0.2% of that generated by the half width antenna, as seen in Figure 4. The bandwidth of Menzel's antenna is reported to be 5.9 - 8.2 GHz. It is hoped that the bandwidth of this design can be improved by varying the height, width, and substrate. FDTD was chosen to model this structure due to the ease of altering the geometry.

Lee [3] analyzed Menzel's antenna with a transverse resonance approximation based on a simplified transmission line model of the cross section, as seen in Figure 3, where Y_{0e} is the admittance of the microstrip. The admittance of the end of the microstrip, Y_t , was taken from an approximation derived by Kuester et al [4]. Figure 5 illustrates good agreement between this approximation and the results using FDTD.




Figure 3: Transverse resonance model of both the Menzel and Thiele antennas.

3. FDTD Simulation

The antenna was excited by giving the E_y component in one or more cells a certain value. This source value was a sinusoid with a cubic ramp over the first three periods. The antenna in Figure 1 was simulated using Matlab over 5.9 - 8.2 GHz, which is the bandwidth predicted by transverse resonance. The 3-D FDTD simulation was patterned after code written by K. Willis and S. Hagness of U. of Wisconsin Computational Electromagnetics Laboratory that used Uniaxial Perfectly Matched Layers (UPML). UPML was not used below the structure's ground plate, since it covered the entire bottom wall of the computational space. Free space was modeled above the substrate layer. All remaining edges of the substrate, as well as the free space above, were terminated with the UPML absorbing layer to isolate only the forward traveling wave. The ground plate and all conductors were modeled as PEC by setting the tangential electric field components to zero for the appropriate cells. The PEC structure was continued into the UPML in both x directions. Since the free space UPML and substrate UPML were in contact, instability was anticipated. By using time steps of no more than 0.9 of the Courant stability bound, no instability was noted after 20,000 time steps.

Computing resources were a limiting factor. The antenna is very thin (< 1 mm) and quite long (up to 1200 mm). Since nearly cubic cells improve FDTD accuracy, this shape creates the need for a huge number of cells. Several tests were run to determine the fewest number of cells needed to accurately model the antenna. The transverse resonance approximation was used as a yard stick to judge the FDTD simulations. The thickness of the UPML layer was found to be frequency dependent. Above 6.7 GHz, only 4 UPML cells proved adequate. Below 6.0 GHz, 16 cells were required. The transition between these points was not linear. The free space region above the antenna was reduced to just 2 cells thick with no noticeable degradation to the results. Likewise, only 2 cells of open substrate on either side of the conducting strip were needed. The tradeoffs between cell size and error were explored. As long as the cross-section dimensions were square, the longitudinal dimension could be five times as long as the cross section size with less than 1% error. The thickness of the substrate was reduced to 5 cells with no measurable effect. The number of cells in the longitudinal direction needed to extract at least two periods of the traveling wave was frequency dependent. The antenna at 5.9 GHz was required to be more than four times longer than the 8.2 GHz antenna. The outcome of these tests was the reduction of the number of cells required to 170,000 at 8.2 GHz and 2,250,000 at 5.9 GHz. This nearly 10-fold decrease in computations and 20-fold decrease in memory allowed all trials above 6.1 GHz to be run on a 3 GHz PC with 1 GB of RAM. The 5.9 - 6.1 GHz trials were run on a 2.5 GHz Mac G5 with 2.5 GB of RAM.

α and β were determined by matching a known $e^{j\gamma z}$ curve to the E_y field amplitude of the same cross section cell as the source, along the length of the antenna. β was found from a least squares fit of the zero crossings and α was found from a least squares fit of the peak values. As seen in Figure 6, a radiation pattern can be estimated using $\gamma = \beta - j\alpha$ results in $I = I_0 e^{-j\gamma z}$ for a line source.

4. Conclusions

The propagation constant can be used to characterize many performance criteria of a traveling wave antenna, such as bandwidth, main beam direction, and approximate far-field pattern. The propagation constant is vital to the choice of length for an antenna. FDTD is a suitable method of extracting the propagation constant of a microstrip

traveling wave antenna. Through many test cases, the simulation revealed that the antenna could be accurately modeled using only a PC. Thiele's antenna demonstrates that microstrip traveling wave antennas operating on the first higher order mode need only be half the width of recent designs. The simulation is now validated and will be used to predict the effect of altering the geometry of the Thiele half width antenna on bandwidth and radiation pattern.




Figure 4: Thiele half width antenna is nearly identical to its full width version.




Figure 5: The transverse resonance approximation is simple and reasonably accurate.





Figure 6: The H-plane radiation pattern of the half width antenna at 7.2 GHz from experimental measurements [5] and the line source approximation using FDTD results. Note, the side lobe difference is due to diffractive effects and reflections not accommodated in the line source model.

References

- [1] W. Menzel, "A New Traveling-Wave Antenna in Microstrip", *Archiv fur Elektronik und Ubertragungstechnik (AEU)*, Band 33, Heft 4:137-140, April 1979.
- [2] A. Oliner, "Leakage from Higher Modes on Microstrip Line with Applications to Antennas", *Radio Science*, Vol. 22, No. 6:907-912, November 1987.
- [3] K.S. Lee, "Microstrip Line Leaky Wave Antenna", Ph.D. Dissertation, Polytechnic University, June 1986.
- [4] E.F. Kuester, R.T. Johnk, and D.C. Chang, "The Thin-Substrate Approximation for Reflection from the End of a Slab-Loaded Parallel-Plate Waveguide with Application to Microstrip patch Antennas", *IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propagation*, Vol AP-30, No. 5, Sep. 1982.
- [5] J.S. Radcliffe, G.A. Thiele, and G.M. Zelinski, "A Microstrip Leaky Wave Antenna and Its Properties", *Symposium of the Antenna Measurement Techniques Association*, Oct. 2004.